GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904.

(Sep. Revs)

File No. Ex 1904/03-04

Serial Nos. 1 — 3.

Papers Filed.

Month October

SUBJECT.

Reporting the appeal of Patel Sanna Veeranna of Parasramapura Challakere Tk in re his suretyship to one Bheemiah licensee of certain Toddy Shops.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
S. R. 3 of 1902-03	

S.R. 19 of 1903-04.
Office note Continued from 4
S.R. 7. no 3 of 1902-03.

Vee Petr. Samuvelenamma
was informed by Endorsement
Sl 8 of SR. 3 of 02-03 No. 588/4 of 14th May 1903 that
he Could not be relieved of
his liability as a Surety to
Bheemiah, Licensee of Para-
sumpura & Rotteen Toddy
shops in the Challakere Taluk
for 1901-02

Now the said Samuvelenamma
Sl 1 of the file has filed a memorial to
His Highness the Maharaja
praying for a reconsideration
of his Case & the Paleru
on the subject has been called
for for submission to His Highness.
The Papers in the file gives the
full facts of the Case.

Submitted.
I have put up draft letter forwarding
the records for the orders of Govt
thereon
21/7/03.
20/7/03.

Ex 56 & 27/7/03.

13

3

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 23rd July 1903

No. 285
1 file.

MEMORANDUM.

File received with the General & Revenue Secretary's No.43 Ex. of the 22nd July 1903, is returned through the Dewan with His Highness the Maharaja's observations.

In view of the Government Advocate's opinion it seems undesirable to interfere with the orders already passed. But the case seems a hard one and has been badly handled by the District and Taluk Officers. The Amildar ought to have taken a bond in the form prescribed by the rules, & it should have been possible to ratify the arrangement come to in less than 5 months. Notice should be taken of these points.

By order,

E Macnaghten

I.C.S.

Private Secretary.

886/

How did this file go? Why was it not sent through me? I shall be glad if the Secretariat explanation on this point. Have there been more instances of this kind?

24.VII.03

16/6

to the Petr. in reply to his Petn addressed to H H; and H H's observations have been Communicated to the Excise Comm[r]. for necessary action. There is a draft in the file to this effect for approval.

Draw
12/8
12.8.03

H
12/8/3

To the Dewan,
through the 2nd. Councillor.

214
14.8.03

For Sd Hon'ble Second Councillor's
Draw
13/8

Seen - the draft may be issued — C
14/8/03

H
12/8

For Sd Hon'ble Dewan
Draw
— 13/8

12264
17.8.03

Drawn generally on the draft endorsement.
"Please peruse Note of deal there is such a form prescribed."

As to Secy's remarks.
"Let me see the prescribed form before issue."

With reference to the

Above quotation is dated
March 10 Parliament of Victoria.
(1981 – Established by Queen Victoria Nag A
Act) and the date of todays
date for which, it is
paid "needless to say, fees,
have been accepted, under
the next following para, shall
operate a bond in the
prescribed form issued (
himself & two sureties of his
vicarage & immovable
property as may be deemed
sufficient by the Dy. Comte"
for the due fulfilment
by the punctuation of the
terms of his agreement."
No form is prescribed by the
rules nor strictly required
that a bond shall be taken.
The forms of fiscal bonds are
set prescribed by the Excise Comte
& distributed to distds. They are
more or less like the Counter
part exceptions in the licences
themselves with modifications
to suit a "pecuniary bond".
A copy of such bond (Sample) obtainable
Title privately from the Ex. Comrs office
Ex. 3 is herewith sealed.
Subd Wine Secy
Trans

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 9 of 1903-04.

Serial No.	
	To Patel Samaveerama No 164 dt 22/8/03.
82	Informs him that the Govt see no reason to interfere with the order already passed.
	To the Excise Commr No 165 dt 22/8/03 Calls for a report regarding the irregularities in the handling of the case by Dt & Tk ~~Officials~~ officers.

3	From the Excise Commr No 2439/82 dt the 29/9/04. States that the matter was settled after some unavoidable correspondence by the Dy Commr, Mr M. S. Narayana Rao, ~~was~~ settled and the money has been recovered and that the G.O. was communicated to the Amildar with a warning. The Excise Commr thinks that the communication of the Govt order to the Amildar with a warning is

Note.—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.



S.R. 19 of 03-04 28
Sl 1.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore, 9th July 1903

no 126/1

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, to be transferred to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore in the General Department, Bangalore, for submission of the papers in the case for His Highness the maharaja's information.

No. 678/7-7-03.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

Peti
11/7/03

Veeranuchi
3 7 03
HVenkatesha

29
21.2

Received through Private Secretary to H.H. with his letter dated 9-7-03 (4 enclosures) & 4 pamphlets 11-7-03

Enclosure stamp affd.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO H.H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MYSORE UNIVERSITY OFFICE
RECEIVED ON THE DATE
DATE 11-7-1903

ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ರಾಜಮಾರ್ತಾಂಡ ರಾಜಧರ್ಮಪ್ರವರ್ತಕ ರಾಜಾಧಿರಾಜ ರಾಜಪರಮೇಶ್ವರ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಪದ್ಮಾರಾಧಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಖಾಸಗೀ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಪಿ. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣಯ್ಯನವರಿಗೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯದ ಕುಲಸಚಿವರಾದ ಎಚ್. ವೀರಣ್ಣಚೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆ.

ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಆಳ್ವಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯದ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯಾಗಿ 1903ನೇ ಇಸವಿಗೆ ಹತ್ತು ವರ್ಷಗಳಾಗುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಕೆಯಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸಿಂಡಿಕೇಟಿನವರು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ, ಆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಈ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆಯನ್ನು ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
11-7-1903

ಎಚ್. ವೀರಣ್ಣಚೆಟ್ಟಿ
ಕುಲಸಚಿವರು
ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯ

125

ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯದ ಸಿಂಡಿಕೇಟಿನವರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಿರ್ಣಯದ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ವಿಚಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

1. ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯವು ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಯಾಗಿ ಹತ್ತು ವರ್ಷಗಳಾಗುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಕೆಯಾಗಿ ಒಂದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸಿಂಡಿಕೇಟಿನವರು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ, ಆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಕೋರಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

2. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮರ್ಪಿಸಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
11-7-1903

ಎಚ್. ವೀರಣ್ಣಚೆಟ್ಟಿ
ಕುಲಸಚಿವರು
ಮೈಸೂರು ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾನಿಲಯ

3 ಇಚಾರಿದಾಂತನ ನಂಗಡನಾ ನಾಲುಮಂದಿಕೈಂದೆ ಕೆರಾದಿನಂತೆ
ಶೇಂದಿದುಕಾಳ್ಗನೆಳಬಿ ಶೆರಿ ವೆಂಬಲಾಗೂ 30-9-90/ರಲಾಂಲುಳ್ಳ
4-5 ತಿಂಗಳ ವರೆಗೂ ನೆಲಂಡಿದಾಸ್ಪು ಶೇಂದಿ ಬಿಕೆರೀವೆಂಬಲಾಗು ನನ್ನಿಷ್ಟು
ವೈಯಿಗೆ ಕೆಲಂಡಿದೆ ಕೆರಾಂತಿಕೆ ಬಿನ್ನೈಶೇಬ್ಯರಿಂದ ಕೆಲಅಂತಕೆರು ತಿಂಗಳು ಅಮಲು
ಲಿದಾಂತ್ ನಾಂಡೆ ಬರವರಳ್ಳು ಮುನಃ 10-3-90ರಳ್ಳಿ ಡಾಬರಾಂತಿ ಇಚಾಂತೆ
ದಾರ ಪ್ಲೂರಕ್ಕಿಗೆ ಕೆಡ್ಡುಬುವದಾಗಿಲು ನನ್ನಿಚಾಮೀನನ್ನು ಇಲಲಾಂತೆ ವಲಂಡಿ
ನಚಿಕೆ ಕಾಯಿಲುಳು ಪೋಳ್ಳಕೆ ಬಲಿಕೆ ಲುಳಲು ಶೆಲ್ಲೆ

4— 1902 ನೇಲುಳ್ಳಿ ವಲಂಬಿಲ್ 12 ದೆಕಾಂರಿಮಿನಳ್ಳು 3ನೆ ಪ್ಯಾರಾಂದಲ್ಲು ಬರಿಕೆ
ಕ್ಲು ನನ್ನಿಷ್ಟಿಕ್ಕೆಲಿಕೆ ಇಚಾಂತಿದಾಂತ ಪೋಡಿಸಾಕ ಭಿನ್ನಿಷ್ಟಲ್ಲುಗಿಸಿಲಾಲುಳು
ಪಚಾಮೀನ್ ಹಾಂಬರ್ಪ ತಿಬಳಿಲಿಕಾಂತಿ ನೆಲಂ ಲಂ ನೀವಲ್ಲೆಂಕೆಕ್ಕೆ ಲಾಲು ನ ಡಿಕಿಲು
ಕಾಂತಿಕೆ: ಇವರಿಂದಾನನ್ನಿಚಾಮೀನನ್ನಿಅನಂತಿಕೆಕಾರ ವಲಂಡಿದ್ದೆ
ಕೆಲಂಬ ಇಲಾಂನ ನಾಲ್ಲಾಂತಿಕೆವ್ಯಕ್ತೆವಿರಲಿಕೆ—

5— ಇಚಾಂತಿದಾಂತ ಪೋಡಿಸಾರಭಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಯಲ್ಲಿಗಿಸಿಲಾಲುಳು ಪಚಾಂ
ಬಿಲ್ಲಿಕೊಂಬರ್ಪ ತಿಬಳಿಲಿಕಾಂತಿ 2ನೆ ನಿಯಲಾಂ ನಲಂಲಿಕೆ ಇಚಾಂತಿ ಆದಕೆ ಬಿಚಿ
ಆಲಿಬ ಕಾಂತಿಕೆಲಿಲಾಲಾಲಿಲಾಲಿಲಾಲಿಕೆಲಾಲಿಲಾಲಿಲಾಲಿ

Ex 37 dt 18-7-03 34 1

1903-04

copy of the Private Secy's docket
No 126 dated 9-7-03

"Petitioner is transferred to the Secretary to the Govt of Mysore in the General Deptt, Bangalore for submission of the papers in the case for H. H. the Maharaja's information"

By order
(Signed) E. Maconachie
I.C.S
Private Secy to H.H

No. 125

Name of Petitioner Sanna Veeranna patel

Village Parasuramapura

Taluk Challakere

District Chitaldrug

Date of Arzi 3.7.03

Date of Receipt 11.7.03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

ABSTRACT

Memorial to H. Highness the Maharaja, appealing against the order of Govt conveyed by endt No 588 Excise dated 14.5.03, declining to interfere with the order of the Dy Comr, Chitaldrug dt, refusing to relieve petitioner of his liability as Surety to one Bheemiah, licensee of Parasuramapura and other toddy shops in the Challakere Taluk for 1902-03

Reference
21
16-7-03
[illegible]
16-7-03

Transferred to the Excise Branch

21
16-7-03

14/7/03

Read 16/7/03
Dy. Secretary

Sealed with Office seal
Comb & the file
16/7/03

16/7/03

3rd The petr submits that
on 30.9.01 he gave a deposition
before the Amildar that he
would stand as surety to
Bheemaiya only for four
months deposit and that too
after execuling a karar from
the said Bheemaiya to handover
the collections to his custody;
that as Bheemaiya failed to
deposit the collections with the
petr he (petr) on 10.3.02
made a statement before the
Amildar to relieve him
from the surety ship as the ijardar
had failed to give effect to the karar;
that on 12.3.02 the authorities
called upon the ijardar to furnish
another security, which clearly
amounts to the petr's liability being
withdrawn; that after some
correspondence the petr has been
unjustly declined to be relieved
from the Surety ship and that his
property has been sold for the arrears
due by Bheemiah.

Prays that his liability be cancelled
and coercive measures stayed pending
final orders on this [illegible]

G. 32] MYSORE EXCISE DEPT:

368

ಜಾಮೀನ್ ಮುಚ್ಚಲಿಕೆ.

ಸನ್ 190 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ _____ ಮಾಹೆ ತಾರೀಖು ____ರಲ್ಲು _____ ನಾನು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟ ಜಾಮೀನ್ ಮುಚ್ಚಲಿಕೆ ಏನಂದರೆ;—

_____ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು _____ಶೇಂದಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಗಳ ಬಾಬತು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆಯನ್ನು 190 —19() ನೇ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ _____ರು ಮುರತೆರಿಗೆ ನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಬಳಪಟ್ಟು ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ _____ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಬಂದು ತಿಂಗಳು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಶೇವಣಿ ಕಟ್ಟುವದರಿಂದ ಬಾಕಿ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಾಲ್ಕು ತಿಂಗಳು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಹಣಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕ ಜಾಮೀನನ್ನು ಅವರು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ ನಾನು ಆರೀತಿ ಜಾಮೀನ್ ಆಗುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿದ್ದೇನೆ ; ಅಂದರೆ ನಾಲ್ಕು ತಿಂಗಳು ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಹಣ _____ ಅಕ್ಷರದಲ್ಲೂ _____ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ನಾನು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಗಳು ಸಹಿತವಾಗಿ ಜಾಮೀನ್ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನನ್ನ ವಾರಸ್ದಾರರು ಸಹ ಬದ್ಧರಾಗಿರುವರೆಂದು ನನ್ನ ಖುದ್ದು ರಾಜಿಯಿಂದ ಬರೆದುಕೊಟ್ಟ ಜಾಮೀನ್ ಮುಚ್ಚಲಿಕೆ ಸಹಿ :—

ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು.

ರುಜು _____ _____

1.

2.

S.R. 1903-04

No 164 Sl 2379

Ex

Dt. 22/8/03.

Receipt

With reference

to his Petn dated.

125/4.37 dt 3.7.03 addressed

to H.H. the Maharaja

of Mysore praying

for a reconsideration

of the order ~~passed~~

declining to interfere

with the action of

the Dy Comrs. Chitaldrug

(dated) in the matter

of his having stood

surety to one Bheemiah

licensee of Parasurampura

& Rs 1000 thereon today

stands in the Challakere

Treasury for the year

1902-03, the Peon

Patel Samudrarama

of Parliament
is informed that
the Court of H.M.
the Maharaja
Sir. No reason
to suppose
question ~~that~~ which
~~the~~ Maharajkumar was
settled after
careful consideration
of all the facts
before them.

B C

Yours

Secret

No 165 dated 11/1
of Colony forwarded
to the Chief Secy
in response ~~to the~~ /
~~to~~ in continuation
of this office memo. No
589 dt 14/5/43.

the declaration that
the Case seems a
hard one and has
been badly handled
by the Police & Police
Officers. The Amildar
ought to have taken
a bond in the form
prescribed by the
rules from Patel
Somabhai instead of the mere
statement dated
30th September 1902, and
it should have
been possible to
ratify the arrangement
Come to in less than
5 months. Notice
should be taken of these
points & the fact reported
to Govt.

BLG

20/8/03

Manapure
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

7. Hime
19/8/03

Dacy
19/8

Verses See note Continued

03874
18-VIII-03

Let this
be included
from Bombay
Dacy
19/8/

These [illegible] Dacy 19/8 Secy

Records 9/10.

Diary No: 1303

Dated 1/10 S.R. 1904

From P. N. Purnaiya Esquire B.A
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
B. K. Venkatavaradaiyengar Esquire
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Revenue and General
Departments, Bangalore

Dated 29th September 1904

Despatched 30

Received

General No. 2439

No. 82

Enclosure No.

Subject

Reports regarding certain irregularities in connection with the complaint of Patel Sannaveeranna of Parasurampura in the matter of his having stood surety to Bheemiah licensee of certain toddy shops in the Challakere Taluk.

D 12

No. 2439/82

Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated, Bangalore, 29th September 1904/30

From

P. N. Purnaiya Esquire B.A

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

B. K. Venkatavaradа Iyengar Esquire

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore, Revenue and General

Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Memo No. 165/Ex. dated 22nd August 1903 anent the surety-ship of one Patel Sanna veeranna of Para-suranpur in connection with the Toddy shops of Parasurampura and other villages in the Challakere Taluk, I have the honor to submit that the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug reports "that the matter "under reference appears to have been dis-"-posed of, after some unavoidable correspondence

by

(11)

Appeal preferred by Patel Samadeenamma of Parasuramapuram, Chellakere Taluk. against the order of the Collector, Chitaldroog District declining to absolve him from his liabilities as a surety to Bheemiah, licensee of Parasuramapuram & 2 other toddy shops of the Chellakere Taluk, for 1901-02.

- Facts of the Case -

A One Bheemiah was the licensee of the Parasuramapuram & 2 other toddy shops in the Chellakere Taluk for the year 1901-02.

On the 5th September 1901 the Amildar of the Chellakere Taluk reported to the Deputy Commissioner that the said licensee failed to pay the shop rents for

Sir I have the honour to request that you may be good enough to communicate your opinion on the legal aspects of the following case.

No 108 of 5.9.01. (A)

July and August 1901.

No subscription.

Thereupon ordered to be recorded.

No 1978 of 12/9/01. Thereupon in accordance with the conditions of the lease,

(2) ~~Accordingly~~ the subscriptions received on the 30th September 1901 and as the bids were very low, the sale was not closed by the Auctioneer. ~~But~~ however on the same day the defaulter (Bhoomiah) represented to the Auctioneer that he might be allowed, by accepting the personal security of Patel Sannaveerappa, to withdraw Rs. 422/- out of Rs 1033/- which he had deposited in the Canara Bank towards the security deposit of 3 months'

Ltr. of 30/9/01 (B)

No 233 dt 22/24/11/01. (E)

No 389 dt 5/6.12.01 (F)

No 260 dt 18/12/01. (G)

No 570 dt 5/7.3.02 H.

His case was reported by the Amildar on the 22nd Nov. 1901. The 24th Nov. Dy. Collr. directed that the survey should be accepted for the previous years arrears & that the current (1901-02) years arrears should be adjusted by Rs 211/- produced in Court by the defaulter & by making him to pay the daily Collections in the Treasury. The Amildar replied that the survey should not agree & that he might be accepted as survey for the current year.

The sanction of the Dy Collr was accorded on 5th March 1902 to accept the Survey of Samaveeram for the current (1901-02) years arrears to the extent of Rs 844 (4 months

Jacob.) accd, it was directed
that a muckaliker
should be taken
from him.

Note. But the Muckaliker
was not taken -

On the 10th March I
1802, the Survey pre-
sented, to the
Court, that the
had originally accepted
the Survey ship for
an account equal
to 4 months' Victuals
on the ~~Presumption~~ persuasion of
the Price Surveyor
(now called Inspector);
& that, his liability
as a Survey ship
be Cancelled, as the
Licensee failed to
Carry out the terms
of the Agreement
entered into by him,
viz to defray each
days Collection in